

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE CHRYSLER-DODGE-JEEP  
ECODIESEL® MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 3:17-md-02777-EMC

**FINAL JUDGMENT**

The Honorable Edward M. Chen

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that pursuant to the Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Attorneys' Fees and Costs (Dkt. No. 561):

1. The Court hereby **CERTIFIES** the Settlement Class and **GRANTS** the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement. The Court fully and finally approves the Settlement in the form contemplated by the Settlement Agreement (Dkt. No. 508) and finds its terms to be fair, reasonable and adequate within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. The Court directs the consummation of the Settlement pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement.
2. The Court **CONFIRMS** the appointment of Lead Plaintiffs' Counsel and the members of the PSC listed in Pretrial Order No. 3 as Settlement Class Counsel.
3. The Court **CONFIRMS** the appointment of the Settlement Class Representatives listed in Exhibit A to Class Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval. Dkt. No. 491-6.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

4. The Court **CONFIRMS** the appointment of the Angeion Group as Claims and Notice Administrator.
5. The Court **GRANTS** Class Counsel’s request for attorneys’ fees and costs, and **AWARDS** Class Counsel \$59 million in attorneys’ fees and \$7 million in costs to be paid by the Defendants in addition to the compensation available to the Class, and to be allocated by Lead Counsel among the PSC firms and additional counsel performing work under Pretrial Order Nos. 3 and 4.
6. The Court **AWARDS** the Settlement Class Representatives service awards of \$5,000 each, also to be paid by the Defendants in addition to the compensation available to the Class.
7. Pursuant to agreement of the parties and subject to the provisions of paragraph 2.18 of the Settlement Agreement, the Court **APPOINTS** the following Claims Review Committee members:

- a. On behalf of the Class:  
David S. Stellings  
Lief Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein, LLP  
250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor  
New York, NY 10013  
Telephone: (212) 355-9500  
E-mail: dstellings@lchb.com
- b. On behalf of the Fiat Chrysler Defendants:  
William B. Monahan  
Sullivan & Cromwell LLP  
125 Broad Street  
New York, New York 10004-2498  
Telephone: (212) 558-7375  
E-mail: monahanw@sullcrom.com
- c. Neutral:  
Kenneth R. Feinberg, Esq.  
Law Offices of Kenneth R. Feinberg, PC  
The Willard Office Building  
1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 390  
Washington, DC 20004-1008  
Telephone: (202) 371-1110  
E-mail: kfeinberg@feinberglawoffices.com

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

8. The Court hereby discharges and releases the Released Claims as to the Released Parties, as those terms are used and defined in the Settlement Agreement.
9. The individuals and entities listed in Exhibit A to Defendants Fiat Chrysler Automobiles N.V., FCA US LLC, V.M. Motori S.P.A. and V.M. North America, Inc.'s Notice of Filing of Opt-Out List (Dkt. No. 569) are excluded from the Settlement Class.
10. The Court hereby permanently bars and enjoins the institution and prosecution by Class Plaintiffs and any Class Member of any other action against the Released Parties in any court or other forum asserting any of the Released Claims, as those terms are used and defined in the Settlement Agreement.
11. The Court further reserves and retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Settlement concerning the administration and enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and to effectuate its terms. Dkt. No. 508 at ¶ 9.16.
12. To the extent Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) is implicated, there is no just reason for delay with respect to a final judgment as to all settling parties.

Dated: May 24, 2019



---

HON. EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge